Delegated Decision Notice

This form is the written record of a key, significant operational or administrative decision taken by an officer.

| Decision type | Key Decision | 🛛 Significar | nt | Administrative | |
|------------------------|--|-----------------|------------------|----------------------------|--|
| | | Operational [| Decision | Decision | |
| Approximate | Below £500,000 | below £25 | ,000 | below £25,000 | |
| value | £500,000 to £1,000,000 | ∑ £25,000 to | £100,000 | £25,000 to £100,000 | |
| | over £1,000,000 | 🗌 £100,000 t | to £500,000 | | |
| | | □ Over £500 | ,000 | | |
| Director ¹ | Director of City Development | | | | |
| Contact person: | Telephone number: | | umber: | | |
| | Kasia Speakman | | 0113 378753 | 37533 | |
| Subject ² : | Wensley Drive ETRO | | | | |
| Decision | What decision has been taken? | | | | |
| details ³ : | (Set out all necessary decisions to be taken by the decision taker including decisions in relation to exempt information, exemption from call in etc.) | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | The Chief Officer Highways and Transportation; | | | | |
| | a) noted the contents of the report | | | | |
| | b) requested the City Solicitor to advertise the Experimental Traffic Regulation | | | | |
| | Order (ETRO) associated with the project as required and, subject to no valid objections being received, to make, seal and implement the Order as | | | | |
| | advertised. | | | | |
| | A brief statement of the reasons for the decision | | | | |
| | (Include any significant financial, procurement, legal or equalities implications, having | | | | |
| | consulted with Finance, PACS, Legal, HR and Equality colleagues as appropriate) | | | | |
| | The decision concerns a pr | onosal to trial | a 'No Entry' rev | striction on Wensley Drive | |
| | The decision concerns a proposal to trial a 'No Entry' restriction on Wensley Drive from Scott Hall Road. The trail will help determine whether this could be an | | | | |
| | appropriate and proportionate solution to issues raised over the years by residents | | | | |
| | and ward members concerning the use of Wensley Drive as a short - cut by motor | | | | |
| | vehicles seeking to avoid delay at junctions between Scott Hall Road and Harrogate/ Chapeltown Road. | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Brief details of any alternative options considered and rejected by the decision | | | | |
| | maker at the time of making the decision | | | | |
| | A 'do nothing' option on this 20mph residential street would be inconsistent with the | | | | |
| | wider treatment for residential streets being co-designed or trialled in the ward. A | | | | |
| | 'do maximum' option of installing a full modal filter at the junction of Wensley Drive | | | | |

¹ Give title of Director with delegated responsibility for function to which decision relates. ² If the decision is key and has appeared on the list of forthcoming key decisions, the title of the decision should be the same as that used in the list

³ Simply refer to supporting report where used as these matters have been set out in detail.

| | and Scott Hall Road was deemed disproportional. A permanent scheme was also | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | considered but not pursued due to the need to monitor potential impacts. | | | | |
| Affected wards: | Chapel Allerton | | | | |
| Details of | Executive Member | | | | |
| consultation | Councillor Helen Hayden 10.10.2022 | | | | |
| undertaken4: | Ward Councillors Ward members have been active advocates of the proposed | | | | |
| | measure. They were briefed about the proposed restriction on 2 nd August 2022 | | | | |
| | Chief Digital and Information Officer ⁵ | | | | |
| | Chief Asset Management and Regeneration Officer ⁶ | | | | |
| | Others | | | | |
| Implementation | Officer accountable, and proposed timescales for implementation | | | | |
| | David O'Donoghue, Principal Transport Planner. | | | | |
| List of | Date Added to List:- | | | | |
| Forthcoming Key Decisions ⁷ | If Special Urgency or General Exception a brief statement of the reason why it is impracticable to delay the decision | | | | |
| | If Special Urgency Relevant Scrutiny Chair(s) approval | | | | |
| | Signature Date | | | | |
| Publication of report ⁸ | If not published for 5 clear working days prior to decision being taken the reason why not possible: | | | | |
| | If published late relevant Executive member's approval | | | | |
| | Signature Date | | | | |
| Call In | Is the decision available ⁹ Yes No | | | | |
| | | | | | |

⁴ Include details of any interest disclosed by an elected Member on consultation and the date of any relevant dispensation given. ⁵ See Officer Delegation Scheme (Executive Functions) CDIO must be consulted in relation to all matters relating to the Council's use of

digital technology ⁶ See Officer Delegation Scheme (Executive Functions) CAMRO must be consulted in relation to all matters relating to the Council's ⁷ See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 2.4 - 2.6. Complete this section for key decisions only
⁸ See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 3.1. Complete this section for key decisions only

⁹ See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 5.1. Significant operational decisions taken by officers are never available for call in. Key decisions are always available for call in unless they have been exempted from call in under rule 5.1.3.

| | If exempt from call-in , the reason why call-in would prejudice the interests of the council or the public: | | | | |
|-------------|--|------------|--|--|--|
| | Does not meet the threshold for a call-in. | | | | |
| Approval of | Authorised decision maker ¹⁰ | | | | |
| Decision | Gary Bartlett - Chief Officer, Highways & Transportation | | | | |
| | Signature | Date | | | |
| | GJBartlett. | 05.10.2022 | | | |

¹⁰ Give the post title and name of the officer with appropriate delegated authority to take the decision.